

Jordan W. Lorence, VA Bar No. 33655  
M. Casey Mattox, VA Bar No. 47148  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM  
801 G Street N.W., Suite 509  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 393-8690  
Fax: (480) 444-0028  
jlorence@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
cmattox@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

Byron J. Babione,\* AZ Bar No. 024320  
Kenneth J. Connelly,\* AZ Bar No. 025420  
J. Caleb C. Dalton, VA Bar No. 83790  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM  
15100 N 90th Street  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85260  
Tel: (480) 444-0020  
Fax: (480) 444-0028  
bbabione@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
kconnelly@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
cdalton@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
\* *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

*Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA – NORFOLK DIVISION**

TIMOTHY B. BOSTIC, *et al.*,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

JANET M. RAINES, in her official capacity  
as State Registrar of Vital Records, *et al.*,

Defendants,

and

MICHÈLE B. MCQUIGG, in her official  
capacity as Prince William County Clerk of  
Circuit Court,

Intervenor-Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-395

**ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE  
DEFENSES OF INTERVENOR-  
DEFENDANT MICHÈLE B. MCQUIGG**

**ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

COMES NOW, Intervenor-Defendant, Michèle B. McQuigg, in her official capacity as Prince William County Clerk of Circuit Court (hereinafter “Intervenor McQuigg”), by counsel, and files her Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint for Declaratory, Injunctive and Other Relief as follows:

**ANSWER TO COMPLAINT**

1. Plaintiffs cite numerous marriage cases in Paragraph 1. These cases speak for themselves and require no response. All remaining allegations of Paragraph 1 are DENIED.

2. The cases, Va. Const. Art. I, § 15-A, and Va. Code §§ 20-45.2, 20-45-3 (collectively referred to as “Virginia’s Marriage Laws”) cited by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 2 speak for themselves and require no response. All remaining allegations of Paragraph 2 are DENIED.

3. The Virginia marriage statute cited by Plaintiffs speaks for itself and requires no response. All remaining allegations of paragraph 3 are DENIED.

4. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief they request in Paragraph 4. All remaining allegations are DENIED.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. Plaintiffs advance federal constitutional claims, but Intervenor McQuigg DENIES that they are entitled to any of the relief they seek.

6. Intervenor McQuigg ADMITS that venue is proper in this Court.

7. Intervenor McQuigg ADMITS that Plaintiffs have brought this suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and that they seek declaratory and injunctive relief from Virginia’s Marriage Laws, but DENIES that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief requested in Paragraph 7.

8. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations personal to Plaintiffs in Paragraph 8, and thus the allegations in their entirety

are DENIED. The legal conclusions advanced by Plaintiffs require no response, but to the extent a response is required they are DENIED in their entirety.

9. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations personal to Plaintiffs in Paragraph 9, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED. The legal conclusions advanced by Plaintiffs require no response, but to the extent a response is required they are DENIED in their entirety.

10. Intervenor McQuigg ADMITS that Plaintiffs have brought this suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, that they seek declaratory and injunctive relief from Virginia's Marriage Laws, and that they seek to recover attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, but DENIES that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief whatsoever.

11. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 11, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

12. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 12, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

13. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 13, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

14. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 14, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

15. The laws applicable to Defendant Schaefer speak for themselves and require no response. The legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 15 similarly require no response. Intervenor McQuigg ADMITS that Defendant Schaefer is the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the City of Norfolk. All other remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 are DENIED.

16. The laws applicable to Defendant Rainey speak for themselves and require no response. The legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 16 similarly require no response. Intervenor McQuigg ADMITS that Defendant Rainey is the State Registrar of Vital Records. All other remaining allegations in Paragraph 16 are DENIED.

17. Intervenor McQuigg ADMITS that she, along with those under her supervision, direction, or control, is bound by Virginia law in the performance of her official duties, and states that upon information and belief that Defendants Rainey and Schaefer are also so obligated. The remaining legal conclusions and the statement of relief asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. All other allegations are DENIED.

18. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 18, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

19. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 19 speak for themselves and require no response. To the extent Plaintiffs draw legal conclusions from those laws, no response is required. Similarly, Plaintiffs' assertions based on *United States v. Windsor*, 133 S. Ct. 2675 (2013), are legal conclusions and thus require no response. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 19 are DENIED.

20. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 20 speak for themselves and require no response. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 20 are DENIED.

21. The conclusions of law proffered by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 21 require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations asserted Paragraph 21 regarding federal marital privileges and benefits, and thus those allegations are DENIED. All remaining allegations are DENIED.

22. The Virginia Marriage laws cited by Plaintiffs, along with *United States v. Windsor*, speak for themselves and require no response. To the extent legal conclusions are proffered by Plaintiffs, these too require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations asserted in Paragraph 22, and thus those allegations are DENIED.

23. The Virginia Marriage laws cited by Plaintiffs, along with *United States v. Windsor*, speak for themselves and require no response. To the extent legal conclusions are proffered by Plaintiffs, these too require no response. All remaining allegations asserted in Paragraph 23 are DENIED.

24. The legal conclusions contained in Paragraph 24 require no response, and the Virginia Marriage Laws cited by Plaintiffs speak for themselves. All remaining allegations in Paragraph 24 are DENIED.

25. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 25, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

26. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 26, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

27. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations personal to plaintiffs, including the ability of Plaintiffs Bostic and London to marry each other, and thus these allegations are DENIED in their entirety. The legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs in Paragraph 27 require no response, but to the extent any response is required, those allegations are DENIED.

28. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 28, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

29. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 29, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

30. The laws referenced by Plaintiffs speak for themselves and require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 30, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

31. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 31, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

32. The laws referenced by Plaintiffs speak for themselves and require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 32, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

33. The laws referenced by Plaintiffs speak for themselves and require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 33, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

34. The legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 34, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED.

35. The legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 35, and thus the allegations in their entirety are DENIED. Intervenor McQuigg expressly DENIES that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief they seek.

**COUNT 1  
DUE PROCESS**

36. Intervenor McQuigg incorporates here by reference Paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.

37. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES the allegations in Paragraph 37.

38. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES the allegations in Paragraph 38.

39. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES the allegations in Paragraph 39.

**COUNT II**  
**EQUAL PROTECTION**

40. Intervenor McQuigg incorporates here by reference Paragraphs 1 through 39 as if fully set forth herein.

41. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES the allegations in Paragraph 41.

42. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by Plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 42 are DENIED.

43. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 43 are DENIED.

44. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 44 are DENIED.

45. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 45 are DENIED.

46. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 46 are DENIED.

47. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 47 are DENIED.

**COUNT III**  
**VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983**

48. Intervenor McQuigg incorporates here by reference Paragraphs 1 through 47 as if fully set forth herein.

49. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 49 are DENIED.

**IRREPARABLE INJURY**

50. Intervenor McQuigg incorporates here by reference Paragraphs 1 through 49 as if fully set forth herein.

51. Intervenor McQuigg is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 51 regarding benefit entitlements, and thus those allegations are DENIED. The Virginia Marriage Laws cited by Plaintiffs speak for themselves, and the legal conclusions asserted by Plaintiffs require no response. To the extent a response is required, all allegations in Paragraph 51 are DENIED.

52. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES the allegations in Paragraph 52.

53. Intervenor McQuigg DENIES that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief on any asserted theory.

54. All allegations not expressly admitted are DENIED.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

1. Plaintiffs fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
2. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the Tenth Amendment.
3. Intervenor McQuigg is entitled to absolute and qualified immunity.
4. Some or all Plaintiffs lack standing to assert the claims presented in the Complaint.
5. Some or all of Plaintiffs' claims are not ripe for judicial determination by this Court.

Wherefore, Intervenor McQuigg, in her official capacity as Clerk of the Court for Prince William County, Virginia, respectfully requests that Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice, that she be awarded costs and attorneys' fees in this matter, and that she be awarded such further relief as is deemed necessary and just.

Respectfully submitted this the 20th day of January, 2014.

By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/

M. Casey Mattox, VA Bar No. 47148  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM  
801 G Street N.W., Suite 509  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 393-8690  
Fax: (480) 444-0028  
cmattox@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

*Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant*

Jordan W. Lorence, VA Bar No. 33655  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM  
801 G Street N.W., Suite 509  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 393-8690  
Fax: (480) 444-0028  
jlorence@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

Byron J. Babione,\* AZ Bar No. 024320  
Kenneth J. Connelly,\* AZ Bar No. 025420  
J. Caleb C. Dalton, VA Bar No. 83790  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM  
15100 N 90th Street  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85260  
Tel: (480) 444-0020  
Fax: (480) 444-0028  
bbabione@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
kconnelly@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
cdalton@alliancedefendingfreedom.org  
\* *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

*Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 20, 2014, I will electronically file the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to the following participants:

David B. Oakley  
doakley@poolemahoney.com  
Jeffrey F. Brooke, Esq.  
Poole Mahoney PC  
860 Greenbrier Circle, Suite 401  
Chesapeake, VA 23320

*Attorneys for Defendant George E. Schaefer, III*

Michael H. Brady  
mbrady@oag.state.va.us  
Catherine Crooks Hill  
cchill@oag.state.va.us  
Stuart Raphael  
sraphael@oag.state.va.us  
Office of the Attorney General  
900 E Main St  
Richmond, VA 23219

*Attorney for Defendant Janet M. Rainey*

Thomas B. Shuttleworth  
tshuttleworth@srgslaw.com  
Robert E. Ruloff  
rruloff@srgslaw.com  
Charles B. Lustig  
clustig@srgslaw.com  
Andrew Mitchell Hendrick  
ahendrick@srgslaw.com  
Erik C. Porcaro  
eporcaro@srgslaw.com  
Shuttleworth, Ruloff, Swain, Haddad &  
Morecock, P.C.  
4525 South Blvd., Suite 300  
Virginia Beach, VA 23452

Joshua Seth Lipshutz  
jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
555 Mission St., Suite 3000  
San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Chantale Fiebig  
cfiebig@gibsondunn.com  
Amir C Tayrani  
atayrani@gibsondunn.com  
Theodore B Olson  
tolson@gibsondunn.com  
Matthew D McGill  
mmcgill@gibsondunn.com  
Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
1050 Connecticut Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20036-5306

David Boies  
dboies@bsfllp.com  
Boies, Schiller & Flexner, LLP  
333 Main St.  
Armonk, NY 10504

Jeremy Michael Goldman  
jgoldman@bsfllp.com  
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP  
1999 Harrison St., Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612

Robert Brian Silver  
rsilver@bsfllp.com  
Joshua I. Schiller  
jischiller@bsfllp.com  
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP  
575 Lexington Ave., 7th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
Theodore J Boutrous, Jr  
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP (CANA)  
333 South Grand Ave.  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197

William Isaacson  
wisaacson@bsfllp.com  
Boies, Schiller & Flexner  
5301 Wisconsin Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20015

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

/s/

---

M. Casey Mattox, VA Bar No. 47148  
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM  
801 G Street N.W., Suite 509  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
Tel: (202) 393-8690  
Fax: (480) 444-0028  
cmattox@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

*Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant*